



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,928	10/23/2003	Jay A. Goldstein	JAG 100	. 1611
23579 75	590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER	
PATREA L. PABST PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 COLONY SQUARE SUITE 1200			STITZEL, DAVID PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
ATLANTA, G	A 30361		DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/691,928	GOLDSTEIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David P. Stitzel, Esq.	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2003.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	tie)						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/04; 7/26/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-16 are currently pending and therefore examined herein on the merits for patentability.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, which forms the basis of the claim rejection as set forth under this particular section of the Official Action:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the phrase "preferred embodiment," like the phrases "in particular," "for example" and "such as," within claim 14 renders said claim indefinite because the meets and bounds of said claim are unclear, as confusion exists with respect to the intended scope of said claim. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102, which forms the basis of the anticipation rejections as set forth under this particular section of the Official Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,928 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616 Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

More specifically, claims 1-13 of the instant application are directed to an antifungal steroidal composition for treating tinea or candida fungal disease, wherein said composition comprises an antifungal agent and a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent; wherein said antifungal agent is a polyene or azole antifungal agent selected from the group consisting of ciclopirox olamine, clotrimazole, econazole, fluconazole, flucytosin, griseofulvin, itraconazole, ketoconazole, miconazole, natamycin, oxiconazole, terbinafine terconazole, tioconazole, amphoterican B, nystatin and silver sulfadiazine, whereby clotrimazole is a particularly preferred antifungal agent that is present in an amount from 0.1% to 5% by weight of said composition; wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of aclometasone dipropionate, clocortalone privalate, desonide, desoximetasone. fluocinolone acetonide. fluticasone propionate, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone probutate, hydrocortisone valerate, mometasone furoate, predincarbate, triamcinolone acetate and triamcinolone acetonide, whereby desonide is a particularly preferred steroidal antiinflammatory agent that is present in an amount from 0.01% to 5.0% by weight of said composition: wherein said composition further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient selected from the group consisting of well known solvents, emollients, humectants, preservatives, emulsifiers, buffers and mixtures thereof; wherein said composition has a pH from about 3.5 to about 7.0 and is formulated as a cream, ointment, gel, lotion, foam, powder, aerosol, spray, shampoo or liquid solution for topical administration. Claims 14-16 of the instant application are directed to a method of treating a tinea or candida fungal disease comprising topically administering an antifungal steroidal composition to a child of under 10 years old two times per day.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,928 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616 Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

1. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,444,647 (hereinafter the Robinson '647 patent).

Similar to claims 1-10 and 13 of the instant application, the Robinson '647 patent discloses an antifungal steroidal composition for treating fungal disease, wherein said composition (abstract) comprises an antifungal agent (column 30, lines 14-50) and a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (column 26, lines 65-68; column 27, lines 1-33); wherein said antifungal agent is selected from the group consisting of clotrimazole, ketoconazole, miconazole and nystatin (column 30, lines 24-50), wherein said antifungal agent is present in an amount from 0.001% to 10% by weight of said composition (column 30, lines 14-23); wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of clocortalone, desonide, desoximetasone, fluocinolone acetonide, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone valerate and triamcinolone (column 27, lines 9-33), wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is present in an amount from 0.1% to 10.0% by weight of said composition (column 27, lines 1-2); wherein said composition is formulated as a cream, ointment, gel, lotion, foam, powder, spray, shampoo or liquid solution for topical administration (column 20, lines 64-67; and column 37, lines 6-10). Similar to claims 14-16 of the instant application, the Robinson '647 patent discloses a method of treating scalp disorders comprising topically administering an antifungal steroidal composition to the skin of a subject during the subjects lifetime about once to about three times per day or more in an amount from about 0.1 mg/cm² to about 10 mg/cm² (column 36, lines 51-67; and column 37, lines 1-5).

2. Claims 1-5 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,075,056 (hereinafter the Quigley '056 patent).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,928 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616 Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

Similar to claims 1-5 and 7-13 of the instant application, the Quigley '056 patent discloses an antifungal steroidal composition for the topical treatment of a tinea or candida fungal disease (abstract, and column 7, lines 25-30), wherein said composition comprises an antifungal agent and a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (abstract); wherein said antifungal agent is terbinafine (column 2, line 6), wherein said antifungal agent is present in an amount from 0.5% to 5% by weight of said composition (claim 2); wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of aclometasone dipropionate, clocortalone, desonide, desoximetasone, fluocinolone acetonide, fluticasone propionate, hydrocortisone, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone valerate, mometasone furoate, predincarbate, triamcinolone acetate and triamcinolone acetonide (column 5, lines 1-50), whereby said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is present in an amount from 0.001% to 5.0% by weight of said composition (column 5, lines 56-58); wherein said composition further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient selected from the group consisting of well known solvents (i.e., propylene glycol), emollients (i.e., mineral oil), humectants (i.e., sorbitol), preservatives (i.e., benzyl alcohol), emulsifiers (i.e., glyceryl monosterate), buffers (i.e., hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide and monobasic sodium phosphate) and mixtures thereof (column 2, lines 53-67; column 3, lines 1-8); wherein said composition has a pH from about 3.5 to about 7.0 (column 3, lines 1-2) and is formulated as a cream, ointment, gel, lotion, foam, powder, aerosol, spray, shampoo or liquid solution for topical administration (column 7, lines 31-34).

3. Claims 1-9, 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 65,686,089 (hereinafter the Mitra '089 patent).

Similar to claims 1-9 and 13 of the instant application, the Mitra '089 patent discloses an antifungal steroidal composition for treating candida fungal disease (column 3, lines 12-13), wherein

Art Unit: 1616

Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

said composition comprises an antifungal agent (column 3, lines 35-40) and a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (column 6, lines 65-67; and column 7, lines 1-29); wherein said antifungal agent is selected from the group consisting of clotrimazole, miconazole, terconazole and nystatin, wherein said antifungal agent is present in an amount from about 0.01% to about 4% by weight of said composition (column 3, lines 35-40; and claim 1); wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of clocortalone, desonide, desoximetasone, fluocinolone acetonide, hydrocortisone valerate and triamcinolone, wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is present in an amount from about 0.1% to about 10.0% by weight of said composition (column 6, lines 65-67; and column 7, lines 1-29). Similar to claims 14 and 16 of the instant application, the Mitra '089 patent discloses a method of treating a candida fungal disease comprising topically administering an antifungal steroidal composition to an individual two times per day in an amount from about 1 mg to about 2 mg per cm² of skin (column 8, lines 66-68; and column 9, lines 1-16).

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,219,877 (hereinafter the Shah '877 patent).

Similar to claims 1-10 of the instant application, the Shah '877 patent discloses an antifungal steroidal composition for treating tinea and candida fungal disease (column 1, lines 6-14), wherein said composition comprises an antifungal agent and a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (column 3, lines 10-14); wherein said antifungal agent is selected from the group consisting of clotrimazole, econazole and miconazole, wherein said antifungal agent is present in an amount from 0.1% to 5% by weight of said composition (column 3, lines 43-53); wherein said steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is selected from the group consisting of clocortalone privalate, desonide, desoximetasone, fluocinolone acetonide, hydrocortisone butyrate, hydrocortisone valerate and triamcinolone acetonide, wherein said steroidal

Art Unit: 1616 Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

anti-inflammatory agent that is present in an amount from 0.01% to 2.5% by weight of said composition (column 3, lines 54-65); wherein said composition is formulated as a gel for topical administration (column 3, lines 20-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 103, which forms the basis of the obviousness rejections as set forth under this particular section of the Official Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings of the Mitra '089 patent.

Similar to claim 15 of the instant application, the Mitra '089 patent teaches a method of treating a candida fungal disease comprising topically administering an antifungal steroidal composition to an individual two times per day in an amount from about 1 mg to about 2 mg per cm² of skin (column 8, lines 66-68; and column 9, lines 1-16). Although the Mitra '089 patent does not specifically mention topically administering said antifungal steroidal composition to "a child of under 10 years old" "two times per day," the Mitra '089 patent explicitly states that although the amount of said antifungal steroidal composition and the frequency of treatment will vary widely depending upon the individual, it is suggested that said antifungal steroidal composition be applied about once per day to about four times per day, but preferably from about twice per day to about three times per day. One of ordinary skill in the art would immediately recognize modifying the amount and frequency of application of

Application/Control Number: 10/691,928

Art Unit: 1616

Examiner: David P. Stitzel, Esq.

Page 8

said antifungal steroidal composition based upon the age of the individual as well as the severity of the

fungal infection. Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been prima facie obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because each and every element

of the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been reasonably disclosed or suggested by the

teachings of the cited prior art references.

Conclusion

Claims 1-16 are rejected.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to David P. Stitzel, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-8508. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 7:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gary L. Kunz, can be reached at 571-272-0887. The central fax number for the USPTO is 571-273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published patent applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished patent

applications is only available through Private PAIR. For more information about the PAIR system,

please see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions about acquiring access to the Private

PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David P. Stitzel, Esq.

John Pak Primary Examiner Group 1800